## Defamation case against 'Messenger' through Perera and cause of action set out

ant.

Lanka has denied the Varathasuntharam averments in the plaint Colombo Arch- and sought an order that the case be rejected for bishop Rev. Nicholas Marcus Fernando and it was not in conformity Rev. Fr. Bertram with imperative provi-Dabrera, the first and sions of the Civil Prothe second defendants cedure Code and that in a defamation suit the publication referred

"Messenger", a weekly

publication of the

Catholic church in Sri

to is privileged. against the newspaper

In this case, a former public servant, Francis

Pietersz has filed a suit

The answers of the defendant priests filed Prasanna Goonewardena and Co.. stated that there was no

Abeynaike claiming Rs.

1,000,000 damages al-

an article defamatory of

him in the 'Messenger'

dated June 23, 1996.

legedly for publishing of defendants. The proprietor of the 'Messencorporate body. The and maintain the action against the 1st defend-

against the 1st and the

2nd defendants.

The defendants are unaware of the averments that the said arti-

There is a misjoinder cle refer to the plaintiff. The defendants deny the averments that the ger' is the Archbishop words published in the of Colombo who is a said article are per se defamatory. They deny plaintiff cannot have the averment that the said article was published maliciously.

The defendants

pleaded that the plaintiff's action be dismissed.

M. H. P. Perera ap-

peared for the plaintiff. Romesh de Silva (PC) with Hiran de Alwis instructed by Prasanna Goonewardene and Co., appeared for the de-

fendants.