Defamation case against 'Messenger'

Varathasuntharam Colombo Arch-

Lanka has denied the averments in the plaint and sought an order that bishop Rev. Nicholas the case be rejected for Marcus Fernando and it was not in conformity Rev. Fr. Bertram with imperative provi-Dabrera, the first and sions of the Civil Prothe second defendants cedure Code and that in a defamation suit the publication referred

against the newspaper to is privileged. In this case, a former "Messenger", a weekly publication of the public servant, Francis Catholic church in Sri Pietersz has filed a suit

through Perera and Abeynaike claiming Rs. 1,000,000 damages allegedly for publishing an article defamatory of him in the 'Messenger' dated June 23, 1996.

The answers of the defendant priests filed Prasanna Goonewardena and Co... stated that there was no cause of action set out against the 1st and the 2nd defendants.

There is a misjoinder of defendants. The proprietor of the 'Messenger' is the Archbishop and maintain the action said article was pubagainst the 1st defend- lished maliciously. ant.

The defendants are unaware of the averments that the said article refer to the plaintiff.

The defendants deny the averments that the words published in the of Colombo who is a said article are per se corporate body. The defamatory. They deny plaintiff cannot have the averment that the fendants.

The defendants

tiff's action be dismissed M. H. P. Perera ap-

pleaded that the plain-

peared for the plaintiff. Romesh de Silva (PC) with Hiran de

Alwis instructed by Prasanna Goonewardene and Co., appeared for the de-